



# Airborne Separation Assurance Systems

Bo Redeborn  
Job Brüggem  
Paul Drouillet

## Summary (1/3)

- Conflict resolution:
  - Villaplana: speed control resolutions yielded complicated procedures
  - Schild: Keep it simple! Is absolutely necessary
  - Hoekstra: A simple and working algorithm
- How to implement an acceptable (simple) conflict resolution algorithm?

## Summary (2/3)

- Blom: Conflict Prediction: analysis rather than design (yet); collision risk modeling
- Hilb: Progress report on Safe Flight 21 Operational Evaluation (Cieplak)
  - Implementation of ADS-B / CDTI
- Mills: Data reduction and analysis of Safe Flight 21 Operational Evaluation

## Summary (3/3)

- Post: Operational assessment of Free Flight Phase 1
  - implementation of pFAST and results
- Smith: internet (IPv6) is overtaking ATN rapidly

## NAPOLI 2000 vs Saclay 1997

- Saclay 1997:
  - “ADS-B and CDTI are part of the future”  
**N XX: Yep!**
  - “Self-separation is likely to be possible in low density airspace” **N XX: Confirmed**
  - “Reservations about feasibility of self-separation in medium/high density airspace” **N XX: by no means inconceivable!**
  - “Demonstrate the capacity gain” **N XX: done**

## NAPOLI 2000 vs Saclay 1997 (2)

- Major issues still to be addressed (Saclay revisited)
  - Responsibilities and Legislation
  - Safety implications
  - Workload implications (..in the air and..) on the ground

## Conclusions

- Significant Progress on ASAS  
initial -promising- applications
- Considerable commitment to implement  
ADS-B / CDTI
- Perceived benefits
  - lower mean separation values
  - better throughput
  - improved situational awareness



## Recommendations

- december ATM 2001 : ALASKA
  - home of Safe flight 21
  - it may be warmer inside